Application of Level Meters at CSO Locations to Provide Real-time Data on System Performance

Shawn Syde, P.E. City Engineer

Karilyn Heisen, P.E., D.WRE Water Resources Engineer

Justin Chicca Superintendent of Wastewater



Scott Craig, P.E. Project Manager





http://www.whalingcity.net/wc_images/nb_1876_map_x_verylarge.jpg



How are we adapting system monitoring to meet future challenges?

- Background
- Current System Monitoring
- Current and Future Challenges
- Path Forward



New Bedford Collection System Stats

- System constructed between 1850s and 1960s
- Serves 100,000 people in 3 communities
- 75 MGD Wastewater Treatment Plant
- 350 miles of pipe (6-in to 96-in.)
- 29 pumping stations
- 74 regulators flowing to 27 outfalls
- 4 dams and 1 hurricane barrier??!
- Outfalls susceptible to tidal/tailwater conditions and stormwater flows from partially separated areas



CSO Monitoring Approach

1990s-2018 CSO Block Program

 Blocks used to determine CSO activation

2018-2020 Pilot Study (5 meters)

- Evaluated types of meters
 - Area velocity meters
 - Level meters
- Evaluated meter locations and installation approaches
 - Outfalls
 - Regulators
 - Field conditions
- Study concluded water level meters located at CSO regulators most effective



CSO Monitoring Approach

- Since 2020:
 - 37 water level meters
 - 2 rain gauges
- In 2022:
 - 20 additional meters
 - 1 additional rain gauge
- Monitors frequency and magnitude of CSO activation
- Digital Twin for near-real time data analysis



Balanced approach using SWMM model, water level and weir/pipe equations

Where Are We Now?

- Finding issues and challenges!
- Data analysis
 - Weirs equations vs. blocks vs. model
 - Regulator data old!
- 20 additional meters and a rain gauge planned
- Model Assessment
 - Fine tuning needed to bring it to where we can use it for stuff other than high level planning





Where Are We Now? (Cont.)

- In the midst of digital twin implementation
 - CMMS integration
 - Reporting
 - Real time comparison
 - Alarms and trending
- Real Time Notifications!!!!!
 - 314 CMR 16
 - Trying to figure out how to navigate this





314 CMR: DIVISION OF WATER POLLUTION CONTROL

314 CMR 16.00; NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS TO PROMOTE PUBLIC AWARENESS OF SEWAGE POLLUTIO

Section

16.01: Purpose, Authority and Applicability

16.02: Definition

16.03: Events Requiring Notification 16.04: Public Advisory Notification Requirements

- 16.05: CSO Permittee Website and Signage Requirements 16.06: CSO Public Notification Plans
- 16.07: Permittee Reporting Requirements

16.08: Waivers 16.09: Public Health Warnings

16.10: Enforcement, Violations, and Right of Entry

16.01 Purpose, Authority and Applicability

The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection promulgates 314 CMR 16.00 pursuant to the authority of the Massachusetts Clean Waters Act, M.G.L. c. 21, §§ 26 through 53 including, but not limited to, §§ 27 and 43A, and St. 2020, c. 322, § 2. 314 CMR 16.00 establishes requirements and procedures for notifying the public of sewage discharges, overflows, and releases of blended wastewater into the surface waters of the Commonwealth to protect and preserve public health. The provisions set forth at 314 CMR 16.04, 16.05, 16.07, and 16.09 are required and enforceable as of July 6, 2022.

16.02: Definition

The following words shall have the following meaning in 314 CMR 16.00, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise

Blended Wastewater. Wastewater conveyed to a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) receiving flows from combined sewer systems which is, in part, intentionally diverted around biological or tertiary treatment units during wet weather conditions, and then recombined with flows from the biological or tertiary treatment units, as a measure to minimize and mitigate water quality impacts of discharges of combined sewer overflows, and which when released meets o s predicted to meet NPDES and surface water discharge permit effluent limits based on histor information provided by the permittee through its CSO public notification plan

Blended Wastewater Public Advisory Notification or Blended Wastewater Notification. Th nmunication a permittee must provide to inform the public of any release of blended vastewater

Combined Public Advisory Notification. The issuance of a single communication to inform the public that more than one of a permittee's outfalls are discharging to the same water body o

Combined Sewer Overflow or CSO. Any discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewate

Issues With Remote System Monitoring

- Expensive to implement
- Meter malfunctions and meter communication issues
- Technical staff needed to review data and manage the system
- One size doesn't fit all and not all locations may be able to be metered
- System configuration may impact meter placement



Issues With Remote System Monitoring







What is the Truth?

- How do we know an overflow actually occurred?
- What is the real overflow duration/volume?
- Answers are: It depends...



What is this costing?

- Total Current Cost: ~ \$200,000 per year
- Is it worth the cost? We think so! Limited staff and more proactive system maintenance allow the City to meet all of its CWA mandates
- Potential for future capital cost savings on larger scale CSO and system improvements projects
- Future Costs: \$500,000 to \$600,000 annual and upwards of \$1M depending on Right to Know law!!

Future Challenges

- Regulatory
- System Information
- Data Quality
- Operations
- Climate Change





Regulatory Challenges

- Massachusetts Right-to-know Law
 - 314 CMR 16.00: Notification Requirements to Promote Public Awareness of Sewage Pollution
 - Final regulations released January 7, 2022
- Requires (among other things....)
 - Real-time notification and accurate reporting
 - Staffing need qualified staff to review data at all hours
 - Accurate data and volume estimates
- Division of Marine Fisheries





Climate Change and Alerts

Urban Inland flooding

 Flooding of basements in New York due to historic 1-hour rainfall total on 9/1/2021



- Meter in New Bedford showed potential flooding at meter in system
- Provide alerts for potential basement back-ups and street flooding

Flow Depth Maple-Chancery



Fort Lee

Cliffside Par

New York

Union City

ey City

Data Analytics

- Predictive analysis with radar analysis
 - Real-time system operations
- Automated Reporting
- Continuous model validation
- Use results document to document system improvements effectiveness – help support rate increases and plan buyin.





SEPA

Summary

- Not one size fits all.. Every community is different..
- Not so easy to implement..
- Right to Know what we want to do vs. what law requires us to do…
- We have a plan that addresses a wide range of issues using a single tool – but be flexible!!
- Mostly cost-effective solution for proactive system management. Right to know burden??
- Regulatory and leadership buy-in is a must – ability to document results and get rate increases
- Development of integrated strategies based on our needs
- Establish strong program management

Integrated Planning in Action 2017 Long Term CSO Control and Integrated Capital Improvements Plan New Berlived Messenwerk

> New Bedford's wastewater treatment facility at Fort Rodma Photo courtesy of Shoreline Aerial Photography LLC, provided by CDM Smit

Located on Buzzards Bay in southersteim Massachusetts. New Bedford is a city with a rich mattime history and a population of nearly 100000. New Bedford owns and operates combined and separate sanitary servers that transport wastewater to the city's wastewater treatment facility' which discharges into Buzzards Bay. The city's atom severs' and combined sever overflow (CSO) outlats discharge into the Acustmet River estary. Clarks Cove, and New Bedford Harbor. Buzzards Bay supports tourism, marinas, and recretational fishing.

Challenges

In 1997, New Bedford agreed to reduce CSOs and build a new secondary wastewater treatment facility under a consent decree with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Missachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. The consent decree was updated in 1990 and 1995 to address cited affordability constraints and allow the city to prioritize wastewater treatment facility improvement and delay CSO abatement activities.

By 2012, New Bedford had reduced CSO volumes by 91 percent since 1990, but it still discharged 248 million gallions of sevage into waterways that year. That is anney year, EPA issued an administrative order that required the city to address sanitary sever overflows (SSOS) and develop a scope for updating 15 is inorg-term control plant (ICP) for managing CSOs. In addition to these requirements, New Bedford anticipated new inforgen effluent limits hat could require costly upgrades to its wastewent treatment facility. The city also has a stormwater discharge permit that includes a total maximum dialy load (TMUC) for pathogens in Buzards Bay.

Integrated Planning in Action

By 2016. New Bedford met all the deadlines in EPA's 2012 administrative order and submitted a score of work to integrate the LTCP with a capital improvement plan in lieu of the more traditional UTCP that the order required. The city asked to use the proposed integrated planning approach to prioritize projects that would address overarching sues.

New Bedford staff held meetings with various stakeholders, city departments, and the

public and identified more than 150 concerns and impacts. For example, bacteriar eduction and system failure prevention were the dity's priorities in addition to CSO abatement. The city then distilled this input into six core issues to address through integrated planning (see box at right) and established goals for each. For example, the city satt the following six project goals for addressing water quality impairments: 1) address management goals in the TMDL; 2) reduce nitrogen and

"Wastewater treatment facilities" (WWTFs) is a generic term for facilities that treat or manage wastewater, including publicly owned treatment works.

² Storm severs and storm sever systems can also be referred to as municipal separate storm sever systems (MS4s). Stormwater discharge permits can be referred to as MS4 permits.





Water quality impairment
Public health and safety

Existing infrastructure re

Climate change

Need for economic development

Sustainability

Contact Us!



Shawn T. Syde, P.E. City Engineer shawn.syde@newbedford-ma

Justin Chicca Superintendent of Wastewater justin.chicca@newbedford-ma



Karilyn Heisen, P.E. D.WRE Water Resources Engineer heisenkj@cdmsmith.com



Digital twins facilitate cost-effective CSO monitoring and reporting

Scott Craig, Katliyn Heisen, Amy Contveau, Shawn T. Syde, and Justin A. Chicca





A Hare T Galler T Mental

ect options for creating a Work Orde

Real dise

() invent income it (i) Filteret Records



E SION

CSO monitoring and reporting

Karilyn Heisen, Amy Corriveau, Shawn T. Syde, and Justin A. Chicca

y of New Bedford in Bristol County, Massachusetts, is on Buzzards Bay. Nicknamed "The (g City" during the 19th century, the city was the most important whaling port in the he city's age and development history has resulted in a large and complex combined sever 1. Smart water approaches are proving valuable for managing the system's operations, mance, and regulatory requirements.

mance, and regulatory requirements. do sever communities across the country, New Bedford is required to monitor and report overflow (CSO) activity through its National Pollation Discharge and Elimination System . In addition to reporting under the permit, the city must also report CSOs under an Medr (AO) on Coment. The USA: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) typically prefers neer (xot) on Coment. In U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (spixalip preters ic) outralia with premament flowments to identify the frequency and magnitude of CSDs, guerally consistent with proposed "right to know" ligilation. These monitoring and ments pose ignificant burdlens to CSO communities. Monitoring costs, meter accuracy, y, system complexities, and other technical issues can limit a community ability to itor CSOs.

nor CSOL 5 collection system has unique characteristics and a configuration that complicates CSO allation of permanent meters in the city's 27 outfalls is not an option due to storrwater hare a common outfall downstream of CSO regulators, idal influences, and accessibility are a common outfall downstream of CSO regulators.



Digital twins facilitate cost-effective

hare a common outlail downsierain of CNO regulators, hald influences, and accessibility manner flowmeters are often considered to provide the best means of reporting overflow are not adways the best solution, since meters often have errors associated with them, they are not insulid or maintaining overprish, Additional Laflenges include neutre calibratio ocations, difficulty in measuring suddlers and intermittent large flows, and isolating CSOs stremaster discharge to the outfall pipe.

WWW.WIECDCARACATHE | MARCH 2021 | WIAT 31

 $|\mathbf{b}| |\mathbf{b}| |\mathbf{b}| |\mathbf{b}| |\mathbf{b}| |\mathbf{b}|$