


2017 Northeast Residuals & Biosolids Conference Burlington, VT

## Soil Phosphorus



From Craig Cogger, WSU, then haphazardly adulterated by Northern Tilth

### Matching Crop Needs with Biosolids N & P

- Anaerobically digested biosolids with 5.4% N, 1% NH<sub>4-</sub>N, 2.1% total P, and 24% solids content
- N →18# plant-available N/ wet ton (steady state for repeated use with 30%/10%/10%/5% Org N mineralization in Years 1,2,3 and 4, respectively)
- ► P  $\rightarrow$  2.1% x 2.291 x 24% solids  $\rightarrow$  23# P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/wet ton
- ▶ Using a yield goal of 18 tons of silage corn per acre
  - ▶ 162#/acre of nitrogen
  - ▶ 90#/acre of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>
  - ► crop needs a P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:N: ratio of 0.55:1
  - ► These biosolids provide a P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:N: ratio 1.3:1
  - At a steady state, if biosolids is primary source of N, this corn field is receiving approximately  $180\#/\text{acre of P}_2O_5$  each year (if the P in the biosolids is 100% available)
  - ▶ Applying at agronomic rates for P would cut

While manure and biosolids are an obvious fit for boosting soil fertility while improving soil health, overuse of any of these materials can increase soil phosphorus levels to optimum levels. P:N levels in chicken manure can be especially high


### **Water Treatment Residuals**




- •Semi-solid residuals generated during the treatment of drinking water from surface water sources
- Alum-based WTRs have been proven effective in sorbing P in a variety of settings, and have to potential to reduce P risks to surface water in agricultural settings
  - High aluminum and iron content of alum-based WTRs help to sorb/bind P

# Nutrient Characteristics of WTRs Relative to Other Soil Amendments

- **WTR**
- Biosolids
- **■** Manure Compost



- •WTRs are low in macro-nutrients and organic matter content when compared with other commonly used soil amendments
- Total aluminum content of alum-based WTRs is very high
- •The lack of any obvious nutrient or organic matter benefit is part of the reason that the recycling of WTRs as a soil amendment is slow to catch on




### **NH and VT Field Trials**

- Northern Tilth, in partnership with RMI, received an NRCS CIG grant to further research the effectiveness of using WTRs to reduce the risk of P run-off
- ► Four corn silage fields were selected for trials from three farms, two farms in NH and one in VT.
- ► All fields had a long history of using manure and/or biosolids as primary sources of soil fertility.

### **Brief Methodology**

- Plots were selected in environmentally sensitive areas (generally close to surface waters)
- 9 plots 70' x90' were set up on each field and referenced by GPS
- Plots were split into sets of 3 (for replication) and WTRs were applied at rates of ranging from 25 to 75 dry tons per acre in the plots (95 to 250 wet tons per acre)
- For the next two cropping years each plot received the same fertilizer treatment from the farmer; no changes in fertilizer regimen from the remainder of the fields
- Soil samples were taken for metals, nutrients, and PSNT annually
- Yield and tissue analyses were conducted in each plot












### Results


			Total				modified Morgan			Mehlich III					oxalate extractable					WEP
<u>ID</u>	WTR rate	soil pH	Solids	Р	Al	Iron	Р	Αl	Fe	P-ICP	Αl	Fe	% DPS	PSR	Р	Al	Fe	DPS(%)	PSI	Р
	dt/ac		%	mg/kg dry wt.			lb/ac	mg	g/kg	mg/kg			%	ratio	mg/kg			%	ratio	
16	0	6.2	83.07	1,300	6,500	10,000	54.1	13	3.1	457	899	361	74.1	0.37	735	1084	1606	68.8	0.34	13.0
17	50	6.5	80.50	1,200	8,500	9,200	16.8	90	4.4	366	1406	266	41.5	0.21	678	3430	1397	28.8	0.14	3.63
18	50	6.6	79.25	1,100	14,000	10,000	18.1	24	3.4	341	1537	272	35.6	0.18	674	2738	1502	33.9	0.17	2.43
19	50	6.3	82.58	1,200	7,300	10,000	35.3	17	3.4	426	1242	315	53.2	0.27	704	2252	1527	41.0	0.21	4.58
20	50	6.3	78.02	1,300	9,600	10,000	9.6	122	7.9	264	1692	272	25.2	0.13	680	4564	2178	21.1	0.11	1.21

- Lot of data to crunch when completed
- For field plots
  - Total P, Al, Fe (total regulated metals for VT field plots)
  - Modified Morgan, Mehlich III, and Oxalate Extractable P, Al and Fe
    - Which will provide data needed to determine Phosphorus Saturation Index (PSI) and Phosphorus Saturation Ratio (PSR)
  - Standard Soil Fertility testing results (Cornell Soil Health Testing Results for the VT field plots)
  - Crop yields and tissue analysis for two field seasons
- Complimentary Soil incubations will focus on the phosphorus, aluminum and iron by all of these extractions only














2017 Northeast Residuals & Biosolids Conference