



Green Infrastructure in New York City:



Three Years of Pilot Implementation and Post-Construction Monitoring

Matthew Jones, PhD, PE mjones@hazenandsawyer.com John McLaughlin, NYCDEP; Sandeep Mehrotra, PE, Hazen; Bill Leo, PE, HDR; Julie Stein, HDR

Acknowledgements

NYC Department of Environmental Protection HDR Biohabitats Horsley Witten Group Geosyntec Brooklyn College





Stormwater Pilot Study

Develop Stormwater Pilot Designs

- Find locations suitable for GI retrofits
- Develop designs feasible as retrofits within the dense urban environment

Construct and Maintain Pilots

- Evaluate local logistics of implementation
- Characterize the type and frequency of maintenance needs

Evaluate Pilot Performance

- Qualitative performance
- Quantitative performance



Full Life Cycle Evaluation



Green Infrastructure Toolbox





Distributed Green Infrastructure at Public Housing





Completed Bioretention



Monitoring Toolbox





Off-the-Shelf Weirs, Flumes, and Loggers

Tested Custom Equipment







Water Quality Sampling





Bioretention Performance

Example 1.4" Storm





Bioretention Performance

Volume Retained



Bioretention Performance Summary



Retained most runoff they received

Simple curb cuts without depressed apron allow bypass

Curb cut sumps effective at capturing litter and debris

Most plants have performed well

Positive community reception







Construction Photos



Peak Flow Reduction



Retention Performance Variability



Permeable Pavement



Hazen

Permeable Pavement

Monitored Performance



Permeable Pavement

Monitored Performance



Roadway Median Bioretention



Roadway Median Bioretention





Median Bioretention

Example 2.6" Storm



Roadway Median Bioretention



Retention Performance





Roadway Median Bioretention

Drawdown Performance

Co-Benefits Study Goals

Identify and quantify green infrastructure co-benefits

Conduct monitoring for cobenefits validation

Develop a tool to calculate, compare, and track co-benefits and triple bottom line costs



Field Monitoring

Temperature differences between control and green infrastructure Pollinators, animal species, and bloom periods Vegetative coverage and success of planting schemes Soil investigations (nutrients, respiration, gasoline)





Field Monitoring Results

Temperature

GI surfaces generally cooler than nearby pavement

Cooler surfaces don't directly translate to cooler air temperatures

Vegetation

Substantial differences in vegetation performance

Pollinators

Confirmed presence even within isolated, highly urbanized areas

Green Infrastructure Soils

Higher levels of biological activity and some pollutant accumulation

Monitoring Results





Bioswale Time Lapse



Bioretention and Snow



Bioretention and Snow



Co-Benefits Calculator



www.nycgicobenefits.net

Er **Co-Benefits Calcu**

0. 00

tive

ant S ible

Acce

/ File

orete Icul ve Na 0

Calculator Inputs								
Save Name:	ROWB 1							
100	ROWB Footprint (ft ²) ?							
3000	Managed Impervious Area (ft²) ?							
25 Anticipated Lifespan (yrs) ?								
70 Shi	Shrub and Herbaceous Cover (%) ?							
1 Number of Trees ?								
Flowering Vegetation 50% • ?								
Native Vegetation								
Plant Species								
Visible Greenspace Tree Pit(s) - ?								
GI Accessibility Accessible .								

C;	alculato Total	> r Outputs ○ Per ft² GI ○ Per ft² Man. ○ Per Ga					
Er	nvironm	ental					
10	07,712	Gallons Managed (gal/yr)					
29	94	Net CO2 Produced (lb/yr) ?					
	380	CO2 Produced (lb/yr) ?					
	85	CO2 Sequestered (lb/yr) ?					
14	1%	Urban Heat Island Reduction ?					
0.16		Ozone Removed (lb/yr) ?					
0.11		PM10 Removed (lb/yr) ?					
0.	11	NO2 Removed (lb/yr)					
0.06		SO2 Removed (lb/yr)					
0.02		CO Removed (lb/yr)					
48 %		Ecosystem Services Score ?					
	🔿 Ec	osystem Score Detail					
Low		Pollinator Support ?					
Mediu		m Native Habitat Support ?					
Mediu		m Biodiversity Support ?					
	Med-L	ow Green Corridor Support ?					
Ec	onomic						
\$25,000		Construction Cost ?					
\$627		Maintenance Cost (\$/yr) ?					
\$19.39		Treatment Savings (\$/yr) ?					
\$1.63		Inferred Economic Benefit (\$/yr) ?					
9 %		Potential Property Value Increase ?					



Co-Benefits Calculator

NYC Green Infrastructure Co-Benefits Calculator								
Save/Open Mode Compare Mode	View Com	iew Comparison 🗸 Help Ene			Enabled Start Tutorial			
Save Location: O ROWB 1 O ROWB 2	O PP Parking Lane 🛛 School Green Ro 🔘 S	ilot 5	Slot 6	Slot 7 Slot 8	Slot 9	Slot 10		
-Introduction- ROW Bioswale Greenstreet Larg	e Bioretention Porous Pavement Constructed Wetland	d Green Roof	Blue Roof -Combined Co	ontrolsTool Setup-				
Co-Benefits Provided	Calculator Inputs	Calculator						
Carbon Sequestration	Save Name: ROWB 1 ^	Total	Carbon S	equestered				
Urban Heat Island Mitigation Reduced Energy Demand	100 ROWB Footprint (ft ²) ?	Environmen						
Improved Ecosystem Services	2000	107,712 G	The average a	annual amount of	f carbon seques	tered from biologi	ical activity of trees, shrubs,	
Improved Air Quality Improved Quality of Life	3000 Managed Impervious Area (ft*)	294 N	herbaceous co	over, and soil.				
Increased Property Value	25 Anticipated Lifespan (yrs) ?	380	Calculation					
Green Jobs	70 Shock and Usebaseau Cours (%)	85	Carbon Sequest	ered (lb/yr) = (Soil S	Sequestration Rate	(lb/yr/ft ²) * GI Footp	rint (ft²) + Shrub and Herbaceous	
	Shrub and Herbaceous Cover (%)	14 % U	Sequestration R	ate (lb/yr/ft²) * Shru	, ib and Herbaceous	Coverage (%) * GI Fo	potprint (ft²) + Tree Sequestration Rate	
	1 Number of Trees ?	0.16 O	(lb/yr/tree) * Nu	imber of Trees) * 3.6	57 (Ibs CO2/Ibs C)			
Carbon Sequestration	Flowering Vegetation 50% • 2	0.11 P	Carban Saguart	ared lb/vr = /0.1/02	$1 \ln 4 m / H^2 \times 100 H^2$. 0.0101 lb/cc/42 * 7	$0\% \times 100 \ \theta^2$, 9 lb (cr/tree × 1 trees) ×	
Description:		0.11 N	3 67 lb CO2/lb C	Carbon Sequestered Ib/yr = $(0.1402 \text{ ib/yr/ft} \ 100 \text{ ft} + 0.0181 \text{ ib/yr/ft} \ 70\% \ 100 \text{ ft} + 8 \text{ ib/yr/tree} \ 3.67 \text{ ib} CO2/ib C = 85.46 \text{ ib/wr}$				
infrastructure can provide carbon	Native Vegetation	0.06 S	5.07 10 002/10 0	5 = 05/40 lb/yl				
sequestration. Carbon is taken from the atmosphere and integrated into	Plant Species	0.02 C	Sequestration R	Rates for Soil, Shrub	, and Herbaceous	Cover		
above and below ground biomass.		48 % E	Jo. H. K. and M	CPherson, G. E. 199	5. Carbon storaae a	nd flux in urban resid	lential areenspace. Journal of	
When plant material is decomposed, some of this carbon can return to the	Visible Greenspace Iree Pit(s) •	 Ecosy 	Environmental	Manaaement, 45(2)	109-133.			
atmosphere. Soil within the green	GI Accessibility Accessible • ?	Low	Tree Sequestrat	tion Rate				
Infrastructure control also serves as a		Medium	Newski D / //			Mallan I T 2007		
The second s		Medium	Medium Nowak, D. J., Hoehn III, K. E., Crane, D. E., Stevens, J. C., Walton, J. T. 2007. Assessing Urban Forest Effects and I					
		Med-Lov	New Tork City s	s orban Forest.				
	· ·	Economic	Click outside he	elp box to close				
	Clear Inputs / Outputs	\$25,000 C	onstruction cost :					
		\$627 N	faintenance Cost (\$/yr) ?					
	Calculate	\$19.39 T	reatment Savings (\$/yr) 김					
		\$1.63 Ir	nferred Economic Benefit (\$/	/yr) <mark>?</mark>				
		9 % p	otential Property Value Incre	ease ?				
				_				

Green Infrastructure Implementation and Performance

Green infrastructure provides an array of tools to overcome stormwater challenges

Retention can be significant within the ultra-urban environment

Evidence that co-benefits are being realized

Matthew Jones, PhD, PE mjones@hazenandsawyer.com

